

<https://helda.helsinki.fi>

Body size at birth and age-related macular degeneration in old age

Haapanen, Markus J.

2020-08

Haapanen , M J , von Bonsdorff , M B , Fisher , D , Jonasson , F , Eiriksdottir , G , Gudnason , V & Cotch , M F 2020 , ' Body size at birth and age-related macular degeneration in old age ' , Acta Ophthalmologica , vol. 98 , no. 5 , pp. 455-463 . <https://doi.org/10.1111/aos.14340>

<http://hdl.handle.net/10138/332722>

<https://doi.org/10.1111/aos.14340>

acceptedVersion

Downloaded from Helda, University of Helsinki institutional repository.

This is an electronic reprint of the original article.

This reprint may differ from the original in pagination and typographic detail.

Please cite the original version.

Body size at birth and age-related macular degeneration in old age

Running head: Size at birth and age-related macular degeneration

Markus J. Haapanen^{1,2}, Mikaela B. von Bonsdorff^{2,3}, Diana Fisher⁴, Fridbert Jonasson^{5,6}, Gudny Eiriksdottir^{5,7}, Vilmondur Gudnason^{5,7}, Mary Frances Cotch⁴

¹ Department of General Practice and Primary Health Care, University of Helsinki and Helsinki University Hospital, Helsinki, Finland

² Folkhälsan Research Center, Helsinki, Finland

³ Gerontology Research Center, Faculty of Sport and Health Sciences, University of Jyväskylä, Jyväskylä, Finland

⁴ Division of Epidemiology and Clinical Applications, Intramural Research Program, National Eye Institute, National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, Maryland, USA

⁵ Faculty of Medicine, University of Iceland, Reykjavik, Iceland

⁶ Department of Ophthalmology, Landspítali, The National University Hospital of Iceland, Reykjavik, Iceland

⁷ Icelandic Heart Association, Kópavogur, Iceland

Financial support: This work was supported by the National Institutes of Health (Intramural Research Program of the National Institute of Aging and the National Eye Institute, ZIAEY00401), National Institute of Health contract number N01-AG-1-2100, the Icelandic Heart Association, the Icelandic Parliament, the University of Iceland Research Fund and the Helga Jonsdottir and Sigurlidi Kristjansson Research Fund. The sponsor or funding organization had no role in the design or conduct of this research.

Conflict of Interest: no conflicting relationship exists for any author.

For Human Subjects: The study was approved by the Icelandic National Bioethics Committee (VSN 00-063) and by the Institutional Review Board of the U.S. National Institute on Aging, National Institutes of Health. The study was conducted in accordance with the principles of the Declaration of Helsinki. All participants signed an informed written consent.

Word count: 3141.

Corresponding author and reprint requests:

Markus J. Haapanen, BM

Department of General Practice and Primary Health Care

University of Helsinki

PO Box 20

FI-00014 University of Helsinki

markus.haapanen@helsinki.fi

43 **Abstract**

44 **Purpose:** To study associations between body size at birth and age-related macular degeneration
45 (AMD) in old age.

46 **Methods:** The study sample consists of 1497 community-dwelling individuals (56.1% women) aged
47 67 to 89 years with birth data and retinal data collected twice in old age 5 years apart. Birth data
48 (weight, length, birth order) were extracted from original birth records. Digital retinal photographs
49 were graded to determine AMD status. Data on covariates were collected at the baseline physical
50 examination in old age. Multivariable regression analyses were used to study the association
51 between birth data and AMD adjusting for known confounding factors, including birth year cohort
52 effects.

53 **Results:** The prevalence and 5-year incidence of any AMD were 33.1% and 17.0%, respectively.
54 Men and women born in 1930-1936 were significantly leaner and slightly longer at birth compared
55 to those in earlier birth cohorts. There were no consistent associations between weight, length or
56 ponderal index (PI) at birth and AMD in old age even when stratified by birth cohort. AMD
57 prevalence (39.8%) and 5-year incidence (28.6%) were highest in individuals who were in the
58 highest quartile of PI at birth and who were obese in old age.

59 **Conclusion:** Body size at birth was not consistently associated with AMD in old age, suggesting
60 that intrauterine growth might have little direct importance in the development of AMD in old
61 age. It is possible that some yet unknown factors related to larger size at birth and obesity in old
62 age may explain differences in the prevalence and incidence of AMD in the aging population.

63

64 Key words: age-related macular degeneration, body size at birth

65 **Introduction**

66 The proportion of individuals aged 60 years and older is projected to double from 12 to 24% by the
67 year 2050 making it the fastest growing demographic group in developed countries (United Nations,
68 Department of Economic and Social Affairs 2015). Age-related macular degeneration (AMD) is a
69 leading cause of blindness in this population (Mitchell et al. 2018) and the number of people
70 suffering from AMD is projected to increase from 196 million in the year 2020 to 289 million in 2040
71 (Wong et al. 2014). AMD is a multifactorial condition that involves several risk factors, of which older
72 age, smoking, dietary habits and genetic factors are among the most well-documented (Lambert et
73 al. 2016). In addition, other chronic conditions including cardiovascular disease, hypertension and
74 obesity, have been associated with an increased risk of AMD (Cheung & Wong 2014). Longitudinal
75 population-based data from the Beaver Dam Eye Study in Wisconsin has suggested that a birth
76 cohort effect may influence the prevalence of AMD (Huang et al. 2003). However, relatively little is
77 known about possible associations among early life factors from conception through gestation that
78 influence health and morbidity throughout life, including signs of AMD in old age.

79
80 The Developmental Origins of Health and Disease (DOHaD) hypothesis highlights the importance of
81 exposures that occur during critical phases of development and the long-lasting effects they may
82 have on later health (Barker 1995, Barker 1998). Evidence from meta-analyses of epidemiological
83 studies stress developmental influences in susceptibility to clinical risk factors associated with AMD
84 (Cheung & Wong 2014), importantly cardiovascular disease (Wang et al. 2014) and hypertension
85 (Zhang et al. 2013), as the result of poor growth indicated by small size at birth, as opposed to
86 obesity (Yu et al. 2011), resulting from accelerated growth and large size at birth.

87

88 The retina undergoes rapid development during early gestation and continues to mature post-
89 partum (Hendrickson 2016). Facing undernutrition *in utero*, organisms prioritize growth of vital
90 tissues including the brain at the expense of others, resulting in smaller size at birth. Low birth
91 weight has been associated with altered ocular dimensions in children (Li et al. 2014) and adults
92 (Fieß et al. 2019). To our knowledge, there are 3 earlier cross-sectional studies reporting on the
93 association between body size at birth and AMD, which show inconsistent results. In a multiethnic
94 study from the United States, higher self-reported birth weight was associated with early AMD,
95 assessed in 1993-1995 in a White population (n=4083, mean age 60 years, 208 AMD cases) but not
96 in the study population as a whole (Liew et al. 2008). Findings from a UK population consisting of
97 White participants found evidence of an association between higher birth weight and head
98 circumference-to-birth weight and early or late AMD among 380 individuals aged 66 to 75 years
99 (Hall et al. 2002). However, null findings were reported by another study from Hertfordshire, UK,
100 which included 717 participants of similar age (Sayer et al. 1998). Using longitudinal data from a
101 subset of participants from the Age, Gene/Environment Susceptibility-Reykjavik (AGES) study on
102 whom birth data were available, we investigated associations between body size at birth and both
103 prevalent and incident AMD in old age.

104 **Materials and methods**

105 *Study population*

106 The AGES study (Harris et al. 2007) is a population-based cohort study comprising 5764 participants
107 who were randomly selected from survivors (n=11,549) of the Reykjavik Study (Sigurdsson et al.
108 1993). Clinical assessment for AMD was first performed among 5272 participants at a study visit
109 (AGES-I) during 2002-2006 (Jonasson et al. 2011). Of these, 2868 survivors provided information on
110 AMD at a 5-year follow up visit (AGES-II) during 2007-2011 (Jonasson et al. 2014). Original midwives'
111 birth records for 1696 AGES participants were available for this analysis (Birgisdottir et al. 2002).
112 Data on body size at birth, AMD and covariates were available for 1497 participants whose mean
113 age was 75.2 years at the baseline AGES-I examination. The study was approved by the Icelandic
114 National Bioethics Committee (VSN 00-063) and by the Institutional Review Board of the U.S.
115 National Institute on Aging, National Institutes of Health. The study was conducted in accordance
116 with the principles of the Declaration of Helsinki. All participants signed an informed written
117 consent.

118

119 *Birth data*

120 Birth data were extracted from birth records obtained from the National Archives of Iceland
121 (Gunnarsdottir et al. 2002). Birth weight was recorded to the nearest 50 g and length in centimeters
122 from crown to heel. Ponderal index (PI) was calculated as $[\text{kg}/\text{m}^3]$. Birth order was grouped into first,
123 second, third and fourth or later born. The average body size of the survivors of the Reykjavik Study
124 (n=4828) was similar to that of participants included in the present study (Birgisdottir et al. 2002).
125 Information on gestational age was not available but at that time a newborn was considered
126 preterm if they were less than 48 cm long at birth (Gunnarsdottir et al. 2002). By this definition,

127 there were 18 preterm births in this sample. Exclusion of preterm births (n=18) from the analyses
128 did not change the results.

129

130 *Age-Related Macular Degeneration*

131 Fundus photography was performed using a standardized protocol as described in detail elsewhere
132 (Jonasson et al. 2011). In brief, using a Canon CR6 nonmydriatic camera with a Canon D60 camera
133 back, two 45-degree digital retinal images, one centered on the optic nerve and the other on the
134 macula, were taken through the pharmacologically dilated pupil of each eye. The retinal images
135 were evaluated by masked graders at the University of Wisconsin Ocular Epidemiology Reading
136 Center for assessment of AMD in a semiquantitative fashion. EyeQ Lite image processing software
137 was used with a standard AMD grading protocol including the modified Wisconsin Age-Related
138 Maculopathy Grading System (Jonasson et al. 2011, Klein et al. 1991, Klein et al. 2006). Early AMD
139 was defined as having any soft drusen and pigmentary abnormalities or the presence of large soft
140 drusen $\geq 125 \mu\text{m}$ in diameter with a large drusen area ($>500 \mu\text{m}$ diameter circle) or large ($\geq 125 \mu\text{m}$
141 in diameter) soft distinct drusen in the absence of late AMD. Any AMD included early AMD and late
142 AMD, defined as presence of at least one of the following: geographical atrophy or exudative AMD
143 (pigmental epithelial detachment, subretinal hemorrhage, visible subretinal new vessel, subretinal
144 fibrous scar or later laser treatment scar for AMD). Inter and intraobserver agreement on the AMD
145 classification was found to be excellent (Jonasson et al. 2011).

146

147 *Covariates*

148 The participants were categorized into 3 distinct geo-economic birth cohorts according to their year
149 of birth: 1914 to 1924 (24.5% of the participants), 1925 to 1929 (32.2%) and 1930 to 1936 (43.3%).

150 Data on covariates from old age were collected at the first AGES clinical examination. Smoking

151 history was grouped into never smokers, ex-smokers and current smokers. Educational attainment
152 was dichotomized as having primary or secondary education and college or university education.
153 Body mass index (BMI) was calculated as weight divided by height squared [kg/m^2]. Coronary heart
154 disease was defined as having documented hospital reports of a myocardial infarction, angioplasty,
155 or coronary artery bypass surgery. Diabetes was defined as having a history of diabetes, use of
156 glucose-modifying medication or HbA1c level $\geq 6.5\%$. Total cholesterol and high-density lipoprotein
157 cholesterol (HDL) were measured in the IHA laboratory from blood samples using standard
158 methods. Information on Complement Factor H (CFH) polymorphism (rs1061170), genotyped by
159 Illumina Genotyping Services, San Diego, California, was available for a subset of individuals who
160 participated in a candidate gene SNP array.

161

162 *Statistical Methods*

163 For comparing characteristics of the study population, Pearson's χ^2 -test was used for categorical
164 variables and analysis of variance for continuous variables. Since body size at birth varied by birth
165 cohort and sex, results were stratified by birth cohort and sex. Due to differences for birth weights
166 and lengths, which were polarized further between men and women within cohorts, the focus of
167 subsequent analyses was the summary measure ponderal index. The association between body size
168 at birth and AMD in old age was investigated with multiple logistic regression models. Ponderal
169 index was categorized into three groups representing low (lowest quartile), normal (25th to 75th
170 percentile) and high (highest quartile) ponderal index, with cut-offs at 24.0 and 28.0 kg/m^3 ,
171 respectively. In old age, the participants were classified as either normal weight ($\text{BMI} < 25 \text{ kg}/\text{m}^2$),
172 overweight ($\text{BMI} 25.0\text{-}30.0 \text{ kg}/\text{m}^2$) or obese ($\text{BMI} > 30 \text{ kg}/\text{m}^2$). The analyses were first adjusted for
173 birth cohort (if not stratified) and then subsequently for the old age variables: educational
174 attainment, body mass index, smoking status, alcohol consumption, coronary heart disease and

175 diabetes, total cholesterol, and HDL cholesterol. Birth order was not associated with AMD and was
176 not included in the regression models. CFH was also not included due to its limited availability in the
177 sample. Two-tailed analyses, assuming a 95% confidence level, were completed using SAS version
178 9.4 (SAS Institute, Cary, NC, USA).

179 **Results**

180 At the mean age of 75.2 years (SD 4.9, range 66 to 89 years), 391 (26.1%) participants had early and
181 105 (7.0%) participants had late AMD. Of those who did not have AMD at the first visit, 123 (17.0%)
182 developed early or late AMD by the 5-year follow-up visit. Characteristics of the men and women
183 according to birth cohort are presented in Table 1. The proportion of never smokers was highest
184 (43.7%) in the oldest cohort and lowest (33.9%) in the youngest cohort and consistently higher
185 among women than men. The prevalence of coronary heart disease and diabetes increased with
186 older age and were higher among men than women. Among those with birth data, the availability
187 of AMD data by mortality is presented in the Supplementary Table.

188

189 In Table 1, the youngest cohort weighed less and was leaner at birth than the two older cohorts.
190 Mean birth weight was less [3669 g (SD 509)] for the youngest cohort born 1930-36 than for the
191 oldest cohort born 1914-24 [3792 g (SD 568)], which was also true for ponderal index, 24.9 kg/m³
192 (SD 2.7) and 26.7 kg/m³ (SD 3.7), respectively. In contrast, mean length at birth was slightly longer
193 for the youngest cohort compared to the older two cohorts. Ponderal index varied slightly by AMD
194 status in the three birth cohort groups (Table 2). Mean ponderal index was higher among individuals
195 with missing AMD data at AGES-II (n=580) than among those with AMD data at AGES-II (n=917), 26.3
196 kg/m³, SD 3.4 vs. 25.6 kg/m³, SD 3.2; unadjusted p<0.01, but the difference became non-significant
197 after adjusting for age (age-adjusted p=0.47, data not shown).

198

199 There was no consistent or obvious relationship between AMD, PI and obesity. The prevalence
200 (39.8%) and 5-year incidence (28.6%) of AMD were highest among individuals who belonged to the
201 highest PI group at birth and who were obese in old age (Figure 1). In contrast, the prevalence of
202 AMD was lowest (28.0%) among individuals in the lowest PI group at birth and medium group of

body weight in old age. The lowest 5-year incidence of AMD (12.8%) was observed for those in the medium PI group at birth and normal body weight in old age.

No associations between body size at birth and prevalent AMD (examined at AGES-I and/or AGES-II) were observed in analyses with data from women and men combined (data not shown) or when stratified by birth cohort (Table 3). When the AGES analytic sample was restricted to the birth years matching each of the other three published studies (Figure 2), the results were unchanged (data not shown). When the analysis was restricted to the first cross-sectional AMD measurement (examined at AGES-I), higher birth weight and ponderal index protected from prevalent AMD in 201 men born 1925-29: 1-unit increases in birth weight (kg) and ponderal index (kg/m^3) were associated with a decreased odds of AMD, odds ratio (OR) 0.64 (95% CI 0.43, 0.96) and OR 0.58 (95% CI 0.38, 0.90), for birth weight and ponderal index, respectively, adjusting for age, education, BMI, smoking, alcohol consumption, prevalent coronary heart disease and diabetes (data not shown). No associations were observed among women or in men belonging to the other two birth cohort strata (data not shown).

When the three birth cohorts were pooled at AGES-I examination, a 1-unit increase in ponderal index was associated with a reduced OR of AMD among men (OR 0.79, 95% CI 0.64 to 0.97) but with increased OR of AMD among women (OR 1.19, 95% CI 1.00 to 1.41), adjusting for birth cohort (data not shown). The association was little changed after further adjustment for covariates in men (OR 0.78, 95% CI 0.63 to 0.97) but became non-significant in women (OR 1.14, 95% CI 0.96, 1.36; data not shown).

226 Data on body size at birth and incident AMD is presented in Table 4 stratified by birth cohort. A 1-
227 unit increase in birth weight (kg) was associated with an increased risk of incident AMD in those
228 born 1925-29 whereas a 1-unit increase in ponderal index (kg/m^3) was associated with a decreased
229 risk of incident AMD in those born 1914-24.

230 Discussion

231 Evidence from epidemiological studies stress the importance of developmental influences,
232 particularly poor growth *in utero*, in susceptibility to chronic diseases (Wang et al. 2014, Zhang et
233 al. 2013), of which several have been suggested to be concomitant risk factors for AMD (Cheung &
234 Wong 2014). We found that body size at birth, which is a crude marker of intrauterine growth, was
235 not consistently associated with AMD in old age.

236

237 Evidence of an association between body size at birth and AMD suggest that a high birth weight may
238 confer a modest risk of AMD in a White population, as was shown in some (Hall et al. 2002, Liew et
239 al. 2008) but not all (Sayer et al. 1998) previous reports. The present study extends previous
240 observations to those aged 80 years and older, and to our knowledge, is the first to study the
241 relationship between body size at birth and incident AMD. Icelanders have one of the highest mean
242 birth weights in the World (Atladottir & Thorsdottir 2000). High rates of longevity in this population
243 combined with its high rate of AMD, considerably higher than in other cohorts of comparable age
244 (Jonasson et al. 2011), make this cohort uniquely suited to investigate an association between AMD
245 and birth size. Although higher BMI in old age was previously found to be associated with incident
246 AMD in AGES data (Jonasson et al. 2014), we found no evidence to support any trend between body
247 size at birth and prevalent or 5-year incident AMD in this population of 1497 Icelanders aged 65
248 years and older. Some associations between birth data and AMD were observed in the present
249 study, however, they were inconsistent and disappeared after stratification by birth cohort and sex.

250

251 The mechanisms underlying the plausible association between accelerated intrauterine growth,
252 reflected in high birth weight, and AMD, are not known. In the present study, we found that both
253 the prevalence and 5-year incidence of AMD were highest in individuals who belonged to the highest

group of ponderal index at birth and who were obese in old age. Rather than resulting directly from accelerated intrauterine growth, it is possible that a risk of AMD could be linked with metabolic programming of one of its risk factors, namely obesity, which has consistently been associated with higher birth weight (Yu et al. 2011). The authors of one study (Hall et al. 2002) proposed that individuals with AMD had disproportionately smaller head circumference to birth weight, possibly indicating that a pattern of retarded growth of structures in the brain, would be linked with AMD. However, we were not able to study that relationship in the present study due to lack of data on head circumference.

Overall, while greater weight at birth has been associated with obesity (Yu et al. 2011), associations between small body size at birth, namely low birth weight, and aging-related chronic diseases (Harder et al. 2007, Wang et al. 2014, Zhang et al. 2013), geriatric syndromes including frailty (Haapanen et al. 2018) and measures of the aging process such as bone mass and muscle strength (Hanson et al. 2016), support a greater role of non-optimal intrauterine growth in the pathogenesis of aging-related chronic disease. This notion is supported by recent findings (Fieß et al. 2019) on eye development where a low birth weight was associated with a steeper corneal curvature, smaller corneal diameter, and thinner central cornea, in individuals aged 40-80 years, suggesting a potential role of intrauterine growth in anatomical alterations of the eye.

A major strength of the present study is that it involved a relatively large sample of older persons with approximately 500 prevalent or incident cases of AMD, representing one third of the entire study population. Information on body size at birth was extracted from actual birth records and standardized fundus images were graded at the University of Wisconsin reading center for AMD. Information on gestational age and head circumference was not available, which limited our ability

278 in differentiating between prematurity and growth retardation in infants born at term in this cohort.
279 Mortality and loss to follow-up may have resulted in selective survival of healthier participants as
280 well as participants with possibly higher mean birth weights. However, mean body size at birth of
281 the AGES participants without AMD data was similar to that of participants with AMD data who
282 were included in the present study. While stratifying by birth cohort enabled us to minimize
283 confounding due to chronological age, it also allowed us to consider, in a general sense, geo-
284 economic conditions indicative of Icelandic life at the time. Accordingly, body size at birth varied
285 between the three birth cohorts. Those in the youngest cohort, born during the Great Depression
286 in Reykjavik, Iceland, as illustrated in Figure 2, were consequently smaller in size at birth whereas
287 those born between 1925 and 1929 were heavier at birth but gained less weight growing up during
288 the Depression (Imai et al. 2012). Consistent with birth cohort effects reported for prevalent (Huang
289 et al. 2003) and incident AMD from a US cohort (Klein et al. 2008), our results corroborate birth
290 cohort effects in an Icelandic cohort, although generalizability to other populations, or other
291 ethnicities, may be limited since both cohorts included only Caucasians. Additionally, findings from
292 this older Icelandic cohort may differ from subsequent generations of Icelanders of comparable age
293 who were born after global travel became routine and the nation's standard of living improved
294 dramatically.

295
296 In conclusion, body size at birth was not consistently associated with AMD in this White population
297 characterized by high birth weight, longevity and higher than average rates of AMD. Therefore,
298 prenatal exposures are likely to have little direct or independent effects on the development of AMD
299 in old age. Indirect effects on AMD, however, may be mediated through other unmeasured factors
300 that influence body size at birth and/or obesity in old age. It is possible that prevention of obesity
301 during the life course may also reduce the prevalence and incidence of AMD in old age.

302 **References**

- 303 Atladottir H & Thorsdottir I (2000): Energy intake and growth of infants in Iceland-a population
304 with high frequency of breast-feeding and high birth weight. *Eur J Clin Nutr* **54**: 695–701.
- 305 Barker DJP (1995): Fetal origins of coronary heart disease. *BMJ* **311**: 171–174.
- 306 Barker DJP (1998): *Mothers, babies and health in later life* (2nd ed.). Edinburgh: Churchill
307 Livingstone.
- 308 Birgisdottir BE, Gunnarsdottir I, Thorsdottir I, Gudnason V & Benediktsson R (2002): Size at birth
309 and glucose intolerance in a relatively genetically homogeneous, high-birth weight
310 population. *Am J Clin Nutr* **76**: 399–403.
- 311 Cheung CMG & Wong TY (2014): Is age-related macular degeneration a manifestation of systemic
312 disease? New prospects for early intervention and treatment. *J Intern Med* **276**: 140–153.
- 313 Fieß A, Schuster AK, Nickels S, et al. (2019): Association of Low Birth Weight With Altered Corneal
314 Geometry and Axial Length in Adulthood in the German Gutenberg Health Study. *JAMA*
315 *Ophthalmol*.
- 316 Gunnarsdottir I, Birgisdottir BE, Benediktsson R, Gudnason V & Thorsdottir I (2002): Relationship
317 between size at birth and hypertension in a genetically homogeneous population of high birth
318 weight. *J Hypertens* **20**: 623–8.
- 319 Haapanen MJ, Perälä MM, Salonen MK, Kajantie E, Simonen M, Pohjolainen P, Eriksson JG & von
320 Bonsdorff MB (2018): Early life determinants of frailty in old age: the Helsinki Birth Cohort
321 Study. *Age Ageing* **47**: 569–575.
- 322 Hall NF, Gale CR, Syddall H, Martyn CN & Phillips DIW (2002): Relation between size at birth and
323 risk of age-related macular degeneration. *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci* **43**: 3641–5.
- 324 Hanson MA, Cooper C, Aihie Sayer A, Eendebak RJ, Clough GF & Beard JR (2016): Developmental
325 aspects of a life course approach to healthy ageing. *J Physiol* **594**: 2147–2160.

326 Harder T, Rodekamp E, Schellong K, Dudenhausen JW & Plagemann A (2007): Birth Weight and
 327 Subsequent Risk of Type 2 Diabetes: A Meta-Analysis. *Am J Epidemiol* **165**: 849–857.
 328 Harris TB, Launer LJ, Eiriksdottir G, et al. (2007): Age, Gene/Environment Susceptibility-Reykjavik
 329 Study: multidisciplinary applied phenomics. *Am J Epidemiol* **165**: 1076–87.
 330 Hendrickson A (2016): Development of Retinal Layers in Prenatal Human Retina. *Am J Ophthalmol*
 331 **161**: 29-35.e1.
 332 Huang GH, Klein R, Klein BEK & Tomany SC (2003): Birth cohort effect on prevalence of age-related
 333 maculopathy in the Beaver Dam Eye Study. *Am J Epidemiol* **157**: 721–729.
 334 Imai CM, Halldorsson TI, Gunnarsdottir I, Gudnason V, Aspelund T, Jonsson G, Birgisdottir BE &
 335 Thorsdottir I (2012): Effect of birth year on birth weight and obesity in adulthood: comparison
 336 between subjects born prior to and during the great depression in Iceland. (Wang G, Ed.)*PLoS*
 337 *One* **7**: e44551.
 338 Jonasson F, Arnarsson A, Eiríksdottir G, et al. (2011): Prevalence of Age-related Macular
 339 Degeneration in Old Persons: Age, Gene/Environment Susceptibility Reykjavik Study.
 340 *Ophthalmology* **118**: 825–830.
 341 Jonasson F, Fisher DE, Eiriksdottir G, et al. (2014): Five-year incidence, progression, and risk factors
 342 for age-related macular degeneration: the age, gene/environment susceptibility study.
 343 *Ophthalmology* **121**: 1766–72.
 344 Klein R, Davis MD, Magli YL, Segal P, Klein BE & Hubbard L (1991): The Wisconsin age-related
 345 maculopathy grading system. *Ophthalmology* **98**: 1128–34.
 346 Klein R, Klein BEK, Knudtson MD, et al. (2006): Prevalence of age-related macular degeneration in
 347 4 racial/ethnic groups in the multi-ethnic study of atherosclerosis. *Ophthalmology* **113**: 373–
 348 80.
 349 Klein R, Knudtson MD, Lee KE, Gangnon RE & Klein BEK (2008): Age–Period–Cohort Effect on the

Incidence of Age-Related Macular Degeneration. *Ophthalmology* **115**: 1460–1467.

Lambert NG, ElShelmani H, Singh MK, et al. (2016): Risk factors and biomarkers of age-related macular degeneration. *Prog Retin Eye Res* **54**: 64–102.

Li XQ, Munkholm A, Copenhagen Child Cohort 2000 Study Group M, Larsen M & Munch IC (2014): Choroidal thickness in relation to birth parameters in 11- to 12-year-old children: the Copenhagen Child Cohort 2000 Eye Study. *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci* **56**: 617–24.

Liew G, Wang JJ, Klein R, Duncan BB, Brancati F, Yeh H-C & Wong TY (2008): The Relationship Between Birthweight and Early Age-Related Maculopathy: The Atherosclerosis Risk in Communities Study. *Ophthalmic Epidemiol* **15**: 56–61.

Mitchell P, Liew G, Gopinath B & Wong TY (2018): Age-related macular degeneration. *Lancet* **392**: 1147–1159.

Sayer AA, Cooper C, Evans JR, Rauf A, Wormald RP, Osmond C & Barker DJ (1998): Are rates of ageing determined in utero? *Age Ageing* **27**: 579–83.

Sigurdsson E, Thorgeirsson G, Sigvaldason H & Sigfusson N (1993): Prevalence of coronary heart disease in Icelandic men 1968-1986. The Reykjavik Study. *Eur Heart J* **14**: 584–91.

United Nations, Department of Economic and Social Affairs PD (2015): *World Population Prospects: The 2015 Revision, Key Findings and Advance Tables. Working Paper No. ESA/P/WP.241*. New York.

Wang S-F, Shu L, Sheng J, Mu M, Wang S, Tao X-Y, Xu S-J & Tao F-B (2014): Birth weight and risk of coronary heart disease in adults: a meta-analysis of prospective cohort studies. *J Dev Orig Health Dis* **5**: 408–419.

Wong WL, Su X, Li X, Cheung CMG, Klein R, Cheng C-Y & Wong TY (2014): Global prevalence of age-related macular degeneration and disease burden projection for 2020 and 2040: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Lancet Glob Heal* **2**: e106–e116.

374 Yu ZB, Han SP, Zhu GZ, Zhu C, Wang XJ, Cao XG & Guo XR (2011): Birth weight and subsequent risk
375 of obesity: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Obes Rev* **12**: 525–542.

376 Zhang Y, Li H, Liu S, Fu G, Zhao Y, Xie Y-J, Zhang Y & Wang Y (2013): The associations of high birth
377 weight with blood pressure and hypertension in later life: a systematic review and meta-
378 analysis. *Hypertens Res* **36**: 725–735.

379

Table 1. Characteristics of Men and Women by Birth Cohort in the AGES-Reykjavik Study

	All Individuals (N=1497)				Men (N=657)				Women (N=840)			
	1914-1924 (24.5%, N=367)	1925-1929 (32.2%, N=482)	1930-1936 (43.3%, N=648)	p- value*	1914-1924 (25.9%, N=170)	1925-1929 (30.6%, N=201)	1930-1936 (43.5%, N=286)	p- value*	1914-1924 (23.5%, N=197)	1925-1929 (33.5%, N=281)	1930-1936 (43.1%, N=362)	p- value*
Birth Data												
Birth Weight, grams	3792 (568)	3790 (561)	3669 (509)	0.02	3888 (553)	3915 (607)	3729 (527)	0.06	3709 (569)	3700 (508)	3621 (489)	0.12
Birth Length, cm	52.2 (2.4)	52.2 (2.5)	52.8 (2.4)	0.03	52.7 (2.5)	52.7 (2.4)	53.2 (2.3)	0.30	51.8 (2.3)	51.9 (2.4)	52.5 (2.4)	0.07
Ponderal Index	26.7 (3.7)	26.6 (3.4)	24.9 (2.7)	< 0.01	26.7 (3.7)	26.8 (3.5)	24.8 (2.7)	< 0.01	26.6 (3.7)	26.5 (3.3)	25.0 (2.7)	< 0.01
Birth Order				< 0.01				< 0.01				0.27
First	21.6 (79)	25.1 (121)	37.3 (241)		20.6 (35)	20.4 (41)	37.8 (108)		12.0 (44)	28.5 (80)	36.9 (133)	
Second	25.4 (93)	22.0 (106)	25.4 (164)		26.5 (45)	22.9 (46)	25.5 (73)		24.5 (48)	21.4 (60)	25.3 (91)	
Third	16.9 (62)	17.8 (86)	15.0 (97)		19.4 (33)	17.9 (36)	15.0 (43)		14.8 (29)	17.8 (50)	15.0 (54)	
Fourth (+)	36.1 (132)	35.1 (169)	22.3 (144)		33.5 (57)	38.8 (78)	21.7 (62)		38.3 (75)	32.4 (91)	22.8 (82)	
Midlife Data												
Body Mass Index	25.9 (3.8)	25.0 (3.3)	25.2 (3.6)	0.04	26.2 (3.4)	25.3 (3.1)	25.8 (3.5)	0.33	25.6 (4.1)	24.8 (3.5)	24.7 (3.7)	0.15
Systolic Blood Pressure	137.6 (17.8)	132.8 (17.3)	128.9 (15.3)	0.37	138.9 (19.0)	137.8 (16.7)	134.4 (14.7)	0.58	136.5 (16.7)	129.2 (16.8)	124.6 (14.3)	0.73
Diastolic Blood Pressure	86.4 (10.2)	83.9 (10.0)	82.0 (9.5)	0.21	88.9 (11.2)	87.9 (10.3)	86.4 (9.3)	0.65	84.2 (8.7)	81.1 (8.8)	78.5 (8.1)	0.08
Old Age Data												
Age	82.0 (2.3)	75.9 (1.7)	70.8 (2.1)	< 0.01	82.1 (2.5)	76.1 (1.6)	71.2 (1.9)	< 0.01	81.9 (2.1)	75.8 (1.8)	70.4 (2.3)	< 0.01
Body Mass Index	27.0 (4.4)	27.1 (4.3)	27.9 (4.7)	0.40	26.8 (3.6)	26.8 (3.7)	28.0 (4.1)	0.05	27.2 (5.0)	27.4 (4.7)	27.9 (5.1)	0.48
Education, Completed Secondary or More	78.5 (285)	78.2 (376)	85.3 (551)	0.14	83.8 (140)	90.0 (180)	89.9 (256)	0.32	74.0 (145)	69.8 (196)	81.7 (295)	0.37
Never Smoked	43.7 (160)	42.7 (206)	33.9 (219)	0.74	33.7 (57)	29.9 (60)	24.2 (69)	0.27	52.3 (103)	52.0 (146)	41.6 (150)	0.12
Alcohol Consumption, One or More Grams Per Week	65.9 (241)	69.3 (332)	75.0 (484)	< 0.01	69.8 (118)	73.5 (147)	80.7 (230)	0.22	62.4 (123)	66.3 (185)	70.6 (254)	0.02
Coronary Heart Disease	25.3 (93)	20.3 (98)	18.1 (117)	0.01	34.7 (59)	29.4 (59)	26.6 (76)	0.12	17.3 (34)	13.9 (39)	11.3 (41)	0.04
Diabetes	14.7 (54)	11.6 (56)	7.7 (50)	< 0.01	18.2 (31)	12.9 (26)	9.8 (28)	0.04	11.7 (23)	10.7 (30)	6.1 (22)	< 0.01
Total Cholesterol, mmol/l	5.6 (1.2)	5.7 (1.2)	5.7 (1.0)	0.01	5.1 (1.1)	5.2 (1.1)	5.3 (1.0)	0.15	6.0 (1.2)	6.0 (1.1)	5.9 (1.0)	< 0.01
High-Density Lipoprotein Cholesterol, mmol/l	1.6 (0.5)	1.6 (0.5)	1.6 (0.5)	0.61	1.5 (0.4)	1.5 (0.5)	1.4 (0.4)	0.14	1.8 (0.5)	1.7 (0.4)	1.7 (0.5)	0.33
CFH Genotype rs1061170**				0.07				0.04				0.30
Allele TT	27.0 (44)	39.4 (84)	39.3 (88)		33.8 (25)	38.5 (30)	41.4 (41)		21.4 (19)	40.0 (54)	37.6 (47)	
TC	54.0 (88)	46.0 (98)	45.5 (102)		52.7 (39)	50.0 (39)	45.5 (45)		55.1 (49)	43.7 (59)	45.6 (57)	
CC	19.0 (31)	14.6 (31)	15.2 (34)		13.5 (10)	11.5 (9)	13.1 (13)		23.6 (21)	16.3 (22)	16.8 (21)	
AMD Status												
AMD at AGES-I				0.32				0.27				0.85
None	57.8 (212)	75.1 (362)	84.9 (550)		61.2 (104)	79.6 (160)	85.7 (245)		54.8 (108)	71.9 (202)	84.3 (305)	
Any AMD	42.2 (155)	24.9 (120)	15.1 (98)		38.8 (66)	20.4 (41)	14.3 (41)		45.2 (89)	28.1 (79)	15.8 (57)	
Early AMD	32.2 (118)	22.0 (106)	12.7 (82)		30.0 (51)	18.4 (37)	12.9 (37)		34.0 (67)	24.6 (69)	12.4 (45)	
Late AMD	10.1 (37)	2.9 (14)	2.5 (16)		8.8 (15)	2.0 (4)	1.4 (4)		11.2 (22)	3.6 (10)	3.3 (12)	
Prevalent AMD at AGES-I or II				0.21				0.09				0.83
None	49.6 (182)	67.4 (325)	76.2 (494)		54.7 (93)	69.2 (139)	80.1 (229)		45.2 (89)	66.2 (186)	73.2 (265)	
Any AMD	50.4 (185)	32.6 (157)	23.8 (154)		45.3 (77)	30.9 (62)	19.9 (57)		54.8 (108)	33.8 (95)	26.8 (97)	
Early AMD	36.2 (133)	26.8 (129)	19.9 (129)		34.1 (58)	26.4 (53)	17.1 (49)		38.1 (75)	27.1 (76)	22.1 (80)	
Late AMD	14.2 (52)	5.8 (28)	3.9 (25)		11.2 (19)	4.5 (9)	2.8 (8)		16.8 (33)	6.8 (19)	4.7 (17)	
Incident AMD at AGES-II***				0.10				0.12				0.54
None	62.0 (49)	84.3 (199)	86.3 (353)		64.5 (20)	79.0 (79)	91.1 (163)		60.4 (29)	88.2 (120)	82.6 (190)	
Any AMD	38.0 (30)	15.7 (37)	13.7 (56)		35.5 (11)	21.0 (21)	8.9 (16)		39.6 (19)	11.8 (16)	17.4 (40)	

Results are presented as mean (standard deviation) or percentage (count).

* Comparison of each characteristic, adjusting for age at AGES-I and sex, by birth cohort.

382
383
384
385
386
387
388
389
390
391
392
393
394
395
396
397
398
399
400
401
402
403
404
405
406
407
408
409
410
411
412
413
414
415
416
417
418
419
420
421
422
423
424
425
426
427
428
429
430
431
432
433
434
435
436
437
438
439
440
441
442
443
444
445
446
447
448
449
450
451
452
453
454
455
456
457
458
459
460
461
462
463
464
465
466
467
468
469
470
471
472
473
474
475
476
477
478
479
480
481
482
483
484
485
486
487
488
489
490
491
492
493
494
495
496
497
498
499
500
501
502
503
504
505
506
507
508
509
510
511
512
513
514
515
516
517
518
519
520
521
522
523
524
525
526
527
528
529
530
531
532
533
534
535
536
537
538
539
540
541
542
543
544
545
546
547
548
549
550
551
552
553
554
555
556
557
558
559
560
561
562
563
564
565
566
567
568
569
570
571
572
573
574
575
576
577
578
579
580
581
582
583
584
585
586
587
588
589
590
591
592
593
594
595
596
597
598
599
600
601
602
603
604
605
606
607
608
609
610
611
612
613
614
615
616
617
618
619
620
621
622
623
624
625
626
627
628
629
630
631
632
633
634
635
636
637
638
639
640
641
642
643
644
645
646
647
648
649
650
651
652
653
654
655
656
657
658
659
660
661
662
663
664
665
666
667
668
669
670
671
672
673
674
675
676
677
678
679
680
681
682
683
684
685
686
687
688
689
690
691
692
693
694
695
696
697
698
699
700
701
702
703
704
705
706
707
708
709
710
711
712
713
714
715
716
717
718
719
720
721
722
723
724
725
726
727
728
729
730
731
732
733
734
735
736
737
738
739
740
741
742
743
744
745
746
747
748
749
750
751
752
753
754
755
756
757
758
759
760
761
762
763
764
765
766
767
768
769
770
771
772
773
774
775
776
777
778
779
780
781
782
783
784
785
786
787
788
789
790
791
792
793
794
795
796
797
798
799
800
801
802
803
804
805
806
807
808
809
810
811
812
813
814
815
816
817
818
819
820
821
822
823
824
825
826
827
828
829
830
831
832
833
834
835
836
837
838
839
840
841
842
843
844
845
846
847
848
849
850
851
852
853
854
855
856
857
858
859
860
861
862
863
864
865
866
867
868
869
870
871
872
873
874
875
876
877
878
879
880
881
882
883
884
885
886
887
888
889
890
891
892
893
894
895
896
897
898
899
900
901
902
903
904
905
906
907
908
909
910
911
912
913
914
915
916
917
918
919
920
921
922
923
924
925
926
927
928
929
930
931
932
933
934
935
936
937
938
939
940
941
942
943
944
945
946
947
948
949
950
951
952
953
954
955
956
957
958
959
960
961
962
963
964
965
966
967
968
969
970
971
972
973
974
975
976
977
978
979
980
981
982
983
984
985
986
987
988
989
990
991
992
993
994
995
996
997
998
999
1000

** C6orf102 genotype rs1061170 data available for only N=600 individuals in this sample.

*** Incident AMD at AGES-II defined as having no AMD at AGES-I baseline but having AMD at AGES-II examination. Sample for this group is N=724, which excludes N=373 with AMD at AGES-I baseline examination and N=400 who did not participate in the AGES-II examination.

386
387

Table 2. Mean Ponderal Index by Birth Cohort and Prevalent and Incident Age-Related Macular Degeneration in the AGES-Reykjavik Study

Birth Cohort	Prevalent AMD at AGES-I or II	Mean Ponderal Index			Incident AMD at AGES-II	Mean Ponderal Index		
		All Individuals (N=1497)	Men (N=657)	Women (N=840)		All Individuals (N=724)	Men (N=310)	Women (N=414)
1914-1924	No	26.6 (3.9)	27.0 (4.1)	26.3 (3.7)	No	27.5 (4.9)	28.4 (4.6)	26.9 (5.0)
	Yes	26.7 (3.5)	26.3 (3.1)	26.9 (3.7)	Yes	25.6 (3.4)	26.0 (3.2)	25.4 (3.6)
1925-1929	No	26.7 (3.3)	26.9 (3.5)	26.5 (3.1)	No	26.4 (3.3)	26.6 (3.6)	26.3 (3.1)
	Yes	26.5 (3.5)	26.5 (3.4)	26.5 (3.6)	Yes	26.9 (3.2)	27.8 (3.4)	25.9 (2.8)
1930-1936	No	24.9 (2.7)	24.8 (2.7)	25 (2.6)	No	24.9 (2.6)	24.6 (2.6)	25.1 (2.6)
	Yes	24.9 (2.8)	24.8 (2.6)	25 (2.9)	Yes	24.6 (2.7)	24.3 (2.8)	24.7 (2.7)
Total	No	25.8 (3.3)	25.9 (3.5)	25.7 (3.1)	No	25.6 (3.2)	25.5 (3.4)	25.7 (3.1)
	Yes	26.1 (3.4)	25.9 (3.2)	26.2 (3.5)	Yes	25.6 (3.2)	26.2 (3.4)	25.2 (3.0)
	Early	25.9 (3.3)	25.7 (3.1)	26.1 (3.4)				
	Late	26.6 (3.8)	26.8 (3.5)	26.5 (3.9)				

388
389
390
391
392

Ponderal Index = kg/m³

Results are presented as means (standard deviation).

* Incident AMD at AGES-II defined as having no AMD at AGES-I baseline but having AMD at AGES-II examination. Incident AMD is only categorized as none or any AMD due to the extremely small number of cases of incident late AMD (e.g. N=4 with 1 case in 1914-1924 cohort, 2 cases in 1925-1929 cohort, and 1 case in 1930-1936 cohort).

393 **Table 3. Logistic Regression Models for Age-Related Macular Degeneration in Old Age by Body Size at Birth in Men and Women**
394 **from the AGES-Reykjavik Study, Stratified by Birth Cohort, Odds Ratios and 95% Confidence Intervals**

Prevalent AMD at AGES-I or AGES-II	Any AMD			Early AMD		
Birth Cohort	1914-1924	1925-1929	1930-1936	1914-1924	1925-1929	1930-1936
All Individuals						
Birth Weight (kg)	1.03 (0.83, 1.28)	0.97 (0.79, 1.20)	0.94 (0.77, 1.13)	1.02 (0.80, 1.30)	0.96 (0.77, 1.20)	0.85 (0.69, 1.04)
Birth Length (cm)	1.08 (0.87, 1.34)	1.08 (0.87, 1.33)	0.93 (0.77, 1.12)	1.09 (0.86, 1.39)	1.08 (0.87, 1.35)	0.86 (0.70, 1.06)
Ponderal Index	0.95 (0.77, 1.18)	0.92 (0.75, 1.13)	0.99 (0.82, 1.20)	0.91 (0.72, 1.16)	0.90 (0.72, 1.12)	0.98 (0.80, 1.19)
Men						
Birth Weight (kg)	0.89 (0.65, 1.24)	0.93 (0.67, 1.30)	0.99 (0.72, 1.34)	0.90 (0.63, 1.30)	0.92 (0.65, 1.30)	0.83 (0.59, 1.15)
Birth Length (cm)	1.10 (0.78, 1.54)	1.02 (0.73, 1.42)	0.94 (0.69, 1.29)	1.12 (0.77, 1.63)	1.01 (0.71, 1.43)	0.86 (0.62, 1.21)
Ponderal Index	0.78 (0.55, 1.10)	0.89 (0.64, 1.23)	1.02 (0.75, 1.40)	0.75 (0.51, 1.11)	0.87 (0.62, 1.23)	0.89 (0.64, 1.25)
Women						
Birth Weight (kg)	1.14 (0.84, 1.53)	1.03 (0.79, 1.35)	0.93 (0.73, 1.18)	1.11 (0.80, 1.55)	1.03 (0.77, 1.37)	0.88 (0.67, 1.14)
Birth Length (cm)	1.00 (0.74, 1.35)	1.13 (0.86, 1.48)	0.93 (0.73, 1.19)	0.97 (0.71, 1.35)	1.14 (0.86, 1.53)	0.87 (0.67, 1.14)
Ponderal Index	1.16 (0.86, 1.57)	0.95 (0.73, 1.24)	0.98 (0.77, 1.25)	1.14 (0.82, 1.59)	0.94 (0.70, 1.25)	1.02 (0.79, 1.32)

395 Ponderal Index = kg/m³
396 Results are presented as odds ratios and 95% confidence intervals.
397 Models are adjusted for sex (in combined analyses of all individuals), and education, age at AGES-I, BMI, smoking, alcohol consumption, prevalent
398 coronary heart disease, diabetes, total cholesterol, and HDL cholesterol.

399
400

Table 4. Logistic Regression Models for Incident Age-Related Macular Degeneration in Old Age by Body Size at Birth in Men and Women from the AGES-Reykjavik Study, Stratified by Birth Cohort, Odds Ratios and 95% Confidence Intervals

Incident AMD at AGES-II		Any AMD	
Birth Cohort	1914-1924	1925-1929	1930-1936
All Individuals			
Birth Weight (kg)	0.65 (0.39, 1.10)	1.51 (1.01, 2.27)	0.81 (0.60, 1.10)
Birth Length (cm)	1.14 (0.68, 1.92)	1.39 (0.94, 2.05)	0.91 (0.67, 1.22)
Ponderal Index	0.50 (0.28, 0.92)	1.13 (0.77, 1.64)	0.87 (0.64, 1.18)
Men			
Birth Weight (kg)	0.44 (0.12, 1.58)	1.64 (0.86, 3.13)	0.88 (0.49, 1.57)
Birth Length (cm)	0.86 (0.17, 4.36)	1.07 (0.60, 1.91)	0.95 (0.54, 1.66)
Ponderal Index	0.20 (0.02, 1.77)	1.61 (0.86, 3.01)	0.89 (0.47, 1.67)
Women			
Birth Weight (kg)	0.76 (0.38, 1.53)	1.46 (0.83, 2.60)	0.79 (0.54, 1.16)
Birth Length (cm)	1.11 (0.54, 2.25)	1.70 (0.96, 3.01)	0.90 (0.63, 1.30)
Ponderal Index	0.62 (0.28, 1.35)	0.83 (0.45, 1.55)	0.86 (0.60, 1.24)

Ponderal Index = kg/m³

Results are presented as odds ratios and 95% confidence intervals.

Models are adjusted for sex (in combined analyses of all individuals), and education, age at AGES-I, BMI, smoking, alcohol consumption, prevalent coronary heart disease, diabetes, total cholesterol and HDL cholesterol.

401
402
403
404

405 **Figure Legends**

406

407 Figure 1A. Percentage of Individuals with Prevalent AMD by Ponderal Index and BMI at AGES-I
408 Examination

409

410

411 Figure 1B. Percentage of Individuals with Incident AMD by Ponderal Index and BMI at AGES-I
412 Examination

413

414 Figure 2.

415 Time of Global Events and Birth Years for Participants in Relevant Studies

Figures




Figure 1 A




Figure 1 B




Figure 2

Supplemental Table 1. Mortality and Age-Related Macular Degeneration for Individuals in the AGES-Reykjavik Study who have Birth Size data

All Individuals				Men			Women			
Total ^a (N=1691)	1914-1924 (N=454)	1925-1929 (N=529)	1930-1936 (N=708)	1914-1924 (N=209)	1925-1929 (N=221)	1930-1936 (N=314)	1914-1924 (N=245)	1925-1929 (N=308)	1930-1936 (N=394)	
AGES-I AMD Status Available										
Yes	88.5 (1497)	80.8 (367)	91.1 (482)	91.5 (648)	81.3 (170)	91.0 (201)	91.1 (286)	80.4 (197)	91.2 (281)	91.9 (362)
No	11.5 (194)	19.2 (87)	8.9 (47)	8.5 (60)	18.7 (39)	9.1 (20)	8.9 (28)	19.6 (48)	8.8 (27)	8.1 (32)
Died before Examination*	77.8 (151)	95.4 (83)	76.6 (36)	53.3 (32)	100.0 (39)	70.0 (14)	64.3 (18)	91.7 (44)	81.5 (22)	43.8 (14)
Missing for Other Reason*	22.2 (43)	4.6 (4)	23.4 (11)	46.7 (28)	0.0 (0)	30.0 (6)	35.7 (10)	8.3 (4)	18.5 (5)	56.2 (18)
All Individuals				Men			Women			
Total ^b (N=1497)	1914-1924 (N=367)	1925-1929 (N=482)	1930-1936 (N=648)	1914-1924 (N=170)	1925-1929 (N=201)	1930-1936 (N=286)	1914-1924 (N=197)	1925-1929 (N=281)	1930-1936 (N=362)	
AGES-II AMD Status Available										
Yes	61.3 (917)	37.1 (136)	62.2 (300)	74.2 (481)	29.4 (50)	61.7 (124)	73.8 (211)	43.6 (86)	62.6 (176)	74.6 (270)
No	38.7 (580)	62.9 (231)	37.8 (182)	25.8 (167)	70.6 (120)	38.3 (77)	26.2 (75)	56.4 (111)	37.4 (105)	25.4 (92)
Died before Examination*	36.7 (213)	36.8 (85)	35.2 (64)	38.3 (64)	38.3 (46)	44.2 (34)	49.3 (37)	35.1 (39)	28.6 (30)	29.3 (27)
Missing for Other Reason*	63.3 (367)	63.2 (146)	64.8 (118)	61.7 (103)	61.7 (74)	55.8 (43)	50.7 (38)	64.9 (72)	71.4 (75)	70.7 (65)

* Percentage denominator is the number of individuals who do not have available AMD status (e.g. 'No').

^a Sample of individuals with birth size data who participated in the AGES-Reykjavik study.

^b Sample of individuals with birth size data and AGES-I AMD data who participated in the AGES-Reykjavik study.